

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,615	03/29/2004	David Django Dexter	157972-0010	3194	
1622 7590 02/27/2007 IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE			EXAMINER		
			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
SUITE 400 NEWPORT BEAC	CH CA 92660		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEWIORI BEAC	311, CA 72000		3677		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONT	16	02/27/2007	PAPED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/812,61	5	DEXTER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Flemming		3677				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum streeto reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. atutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed texpire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 January 200</i> 3	<b>7</b> .					
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 14 is/are pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		nsideration.					
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 14 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·				
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers		`					
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
.•	see the attached detailed Office activ		ned cobies not receive					
Attachmer			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/812,615

Art Unit: 3677

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In each of claim 1 and 14, the radial surface not making contact with the point of the flange is considered new matter. To the contrary, the radial surface, which is claimed as the curved surface 83, is described to "slide[s] over" the point of the flange (page 19, line 10-14) which implies contact. Even according to the drawings, it is unclear how the ring could snap in to the groové without contact between the curved surface of the ring and the corner of the flange.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seibert (US 3.045.265). Seibert discloses a ring (130 or 30) having a non-circular opening (see

Art Unit: 3677

Figs. 4 and 9) and having a top and contacting surface wherein since the ring is round, the radius of curvature would be 50% of the thickness and also by fact that the ring is round in various section of the periphery of the ring could read as different surfaces. In claim 1, the actuator is claimed only as an intended use of the ring of which ring of Seibert would be capable of.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USG § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toh (US 6,856,485) in view of Seibert. Toh discloses an actuator arm comprising an actuator (29), an actuator pivot bearing (31) including a bearing groove wherein the upper surface of the bearing groove is read as an "actuator pivot bearing flange" and a snap ring (32) received in the groove but, does not disclose the specifics of the snap ring a claimed. Seibert discloses a ring (130 or 30) having a non-circular opening (see Figs. 4 and 9) and having a top and inner surface wherein since the ring is round, the radius of curvature would be 50% of the thickness and also by fact that the ring is round in various section of the periphery of the ring could read as different surfaces. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to form the ring of Toh as shown in Seibert because the ring as in Seibert would be easier

Application/Control Number: 10/812,615

Art Unit: 3677

to manufacture since it is simply formed from a wire stock. The limitation wherein the

does not contact the point of the bearing flange is a product-by-process limitation

wherein it is only the final product considered.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's remarks have been considered but, no response is believed

necessary in light of the above rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-

7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3677